



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 060204

SEED Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC  
701 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 6300  
Seattle, WA 98104-7092

JUN 18 2004

In re Application of:	:	
Jessica Wang.	:	
Serial No.: 10/771,841	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: February 04, 2004	:	TO MAKE APPLICATION
Attorney Docket No.: 910149.401C1	:	SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), filed May 14, 2004, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.), Section 708.02, Item II: Infringement.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section II, must be accompanied by the required fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h) and a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office alleging:

- (A) That there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use;
- (B) That a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product, or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and
- (C) That he or she has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the prior art or has a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art.

The petition satisfies the above-listed requirements for special status.

Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.


If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and he/she should make a rigid search for such, he/she is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, he/she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that he/she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application becomes involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

Inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Clayton E. LaBalle at (571) 272-1594.

  
Clayton E. LaBalle, Special Programs Examiner  
Technology Center 2800  
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical  
Systems and Components